(1)

P 19

App. F. IX-4

1932 (Police)

File No. 178-32

Serial Nos. 1-4

*Previous References*

*Later References*

Rel 134-33 (1-2)
" 117-35-1-4
" 41-38 (1-3)
" 14-40 (1-2)

PRESERVED

# General and Revenue Secretariat.

---

*Serial Nos.* Four

**Part B for** June 1933.

---

SUBJECT.

Creation of a post of Head Accountant and Auditor on Rs 100-5-125 in the office of the S.P. of Police for a period of three years from 1-6-? and the abstainment of Mr K.N. Chandrasekharaiah to the post.

---

Govt. Order 6732-33 dt 30-6-33

To the S.P. of Police
" Bangalore

Sanctioned.

M Iyengar
13/7/33

Conducting internal Audit

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 176 of 193 2 | [App. F. III

Serial No.

From

The D. T. Office

Letter no. A.C. 1598/32 dated 1.5.33 forwarding for sanction proposals for creation of a post on Rs. in the Audit Branch of the Chief office instead of post on Rs 70-5-95 now existing, with a view to introduce system of periodical audit of accounts of the Dist & Taluk Police Offices, the extra cost of Rs. 360 per annum involved in the proposal being met out of the savings to be effected by retrenchment in the Office establishment under F.R. 56 (k).

1) It is stated in para 2 of the letter that a clerk of the Chief Office was deputed for conducting internal audit in 2 or 3 offices of the Dept. that instances of grave omissions & irregularities such as frauds, defects in classification of accounts, double payments, indiscriminate grant of advances & heavy outstanding of pay & T.A. were noticed in those offices. And since the accounts of such small offices are not generally audited by the Accounts Dept. (Comptroller's Office) the irregularities of the kind could only be discovered by a systematic check & scrutiny of the Chief Office.

2) The D. T. Office is of opinion that the D.S.Ps should not be saddled with the minor work of checking & scrutinising the accounts of the offices under his control and has got important work of controlling executive which may take whole of his

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

1

Para A. per Standing orders the Head of the Dept. makes annual inspection of the Offices ~~under~~ during which, an important, if not the sole, object of the is to conduct an audit of the accounts of the Subordinate Offices by his assistants. The inspection may also be undertaken in the interval if any malfeasance or grave confusion in accounts of the Subordinate Offices are reported to him or found out in the course of compiling monthly accounts in the Chief Office.

It may not be possible for the Head Office to do more than what is stated above, nor is it necessary to do more, as the checking assistant of the Head of the Dept. has his own legitimate function in the head Office.

By the introduction of the system proposed herein now, it may not be possible to exercise control prior to the happen occurrence of any irregularity in as much as the accounts are checked only after the transaction is over, if not long after the transaction.

It also implies facing or more or less taking away the sense of

Creation of a post of a clerk on Rs 100-5-125 for conducting internal Audit

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 176 of 1932 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

of responsibility of the D.S.P's in respect of the accounts & monetary transactions of the offices under their control. Added to this, the D.S.Ps are as drawing Officers, expected to be conversant with Account rules & Regulations, having passed the Account Higher Examinations. Introduction of Supr. audit as it would mean, would deprive the drawing officers, of the opportunity & scope for they themselves checking & scrutinising the work of their sub-ordenates & as well as their being used to passes require efficient account working knowledge.

Since it proposed to institute only post audit, the new clerk will be doing the same thing as the D.S.Ps would be doing otherwise; and for this there is ample scope for the chief Office to present to check & rectify the accounts when annual inspection is conducted.

As regards the reference made by the D-Trg Office to the observation of the Public Accounts' Committee in page 182 of their report for 1980-81, it may be seen that it refers to the system of pre-audit conducted in the financial Branch attached to certain big Depts such as Education, Forest, Agriculture etc and it does not apply to the post-audit System proposed now.

Submitted for orders

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 -GPB—15,000—22-8-32

615/83

5736

4 Audit Estt for the Police Dept —

File No. 178 of 1932 | General and Revenue Secretariat

3) An audit by a responsible official will be useful. The responsibility for the correctness etc of the accounts must often be on the head of the office. The extra cost will be Rs 307- p.m. But the D.S.P proposes the retrenchment of the office orderlies (K.S.F) by which there will be savings of Rs 72/- p.m. The net savings will be Rs 42 p.m.

The retrenchment of the office orderlies may be given effect from 1st June 1933 as proposed by the D.S.P. The increase of pay to the audit clerk from 70-5-95 to 100-5-125 may be sanctioned.

Chief Secretary

6/5/33

CHIEF SECRETARY.
8 MAY 1933

Joint Member

Hd S

Fd Sy

P.S.
15/5/33

H. 3355
16/5.

4) It is the normal duty ~~for the Head~~ of the D.S.P and of the A.S.P's to see that as disbursers the amounts they draw on bills from the Treasury are properly spent and during inspections they see that the Acquittance Rolls & Cash books of their Subordinate offices are properly kept. This ~~is also the~~ duty cannot be taken away from them under any circumstances and if this is done by them there will be no need to arrange to send a local Auditor from the Head office to examine the accts of ~~all~~ the Dt & Taluk Police offices as now suggested. If this arrangement implies that A.S.P's need not ~~locally~~ examine the accounts during

Creation of a Clerk on Rs 100-5-125 ~~under~~ for conducting internal audit 5736

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 176 of 193 2 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

their inspections. Such a change is not financially acceptable -

~~There is no objection to Account makers checking~~

The ~~contents~~ nature of the irregularities mentioned in para 4. indicate that what is required is close adhesion to rules ~~by~~ and supervision of the Cash transactions by Heads of Offices - and if this is wanting, it is no remedy to send a local auditor from the Head office -

Para 6 of the letter does not clearly show what his idea of an Internal Audit Organization is. The remarks of the Pub Accts Committee do not apply to a department like the Police in which almost all the Establt is under Salaries & Allowances. In the case of these the most important duty of the Establt Offices is to see that disbursements are properly made, Acquittance rolls properly kept and a proper account maintained of the money drawn from the Treasury & disbursements therefrom.

In special Cases of Special Circumstances justifying the same, a Special local Audit may be necessary but normally in the case of the Establt Police offices, the Check of accounts conducted during the Inspection of offices by Superior officers should suffice -

I am therefore not in favour of a local audit as suggested for all offices or for an increase in the Establt of the Clerks in the I.G.P's office.

The abolition of the orderly in the H.Q.F office, may be effected as an economy measure -

17.5.33.

17.5.33

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 19 MAY 1933 No. 9644

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 -GPB—15,000—22-8-32

6

Creation of 4 posts Rs 100-5-125
instead of one @ Rs 75-5-85

File No. 178 of 193 — General and Revenue Secretariat

5) I think the proposal (which does not involve any extra cost) may be passed. It may be made clear that the authorities of the system will not debar from the responsibilities based on Heavy Spirit or Imperial Spirit. See that the accounts are kept properly.

RG 25/5 — 25/5

6) draft G.O is accordingly submitted for appn.

25/5/33 — 26/5/33

7) Pl. get typed & submit

25/5

8) draft is typed & submitted for appn.

27/5/33

(Chief Secy)

29/5/33

CHIEF SECRETARY
29 MAY 1933

9) Dewan Sir Thad
Finance Secy.

RG 29/5 — 29/5

29.5.33

DEWAN'S OFFICE
6985
30 JUN. 1933

10) ~~Sir~~ I have already given my view. The increase in pay may at least be negatived to avoid Extra Cost.

The Scheme may be sanctioned perhaps only temporarily and report got at the End of the period sanctioned

30/5 — 31.5.33

11) I think the Finance Secy's proposal may be sanctioned. As regards the suggestion of the Hon'ble Minister for Revenue, the system may be tried for three years and the first member appointed under the new system may be given an extension of one year.

RG 6(3) — 3.6.33

5736

7

Serial No.

12) Sub
28
3/6/33

Put up next

6/6/33

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 6 JUNE 1933 No. 10283

13) I quite agree the scheme be kept in suspense for 3 years

by
7-6-33

Put up
8-6-33.

9/6

The draft is revised & submitted with reference to paras 11 & 13

9/6

TYPING SECTION 10. JUN. 1933 GEN. & REV. SECT.

Pol. corr. 2173

Ref: Financial Secy's Note para 10.
Draft again here.

13/6

For perusal
13/6/33.

Pol Secy)

14/6.

Issue
15/6/33.

Issued

G.O no p6352 dated 13.6.33 sanctioning temporarily for 3 years the appointment of an accountant-auditor on Rs 100-5-125 instead of a clerk on Rs 75-5-95 for inspecting the subordinate offices & reporting with the observation that the heads of offices are responsible for the proper maintenance of accounts in their

20/6/33

NOTE—Cont. Sheet.

O.W.B. 0246—Gr.B—15,000—22-8-32

6. Appointment of Mr. K. N. Chandrasekharan as Head Accountant & Auditor on Rs 100

File No. 128 5736 of 1932 — General and Revenue Secretariat

From

The D. G. of Police

Letter no. A.C. 1598/32 dated 19.6.33 stating that Mr. K. N. Chandrasekharaiyya acting 1st class clerk and Head Accountant is appointed as Head Accountant & Auditor on Rs 100 in grade 100-5-125 with effect from 1.6.33 and requesting sanction in the matter.

As the extra cost involved in the appointment has to be met from the savings accruing from the retrenchment of orderlies under the K.G.F. Police Estt. it is presumed that the proposed retrenchment of the orderlies has been effected from 1.6.33.

This may perhaps be sanctioned

Submitted for order with a draft order.

28/6/33

Chief Secretary

1st Issue

Rg 27/6(33)

27/6

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 28 JUNE 1933 No. 10059

28/6/33

29 JUN 19

Rg 28/6(33).

Jegue 29/6

G.O. no. P 67 32 dated 30.6.33

Sanctioning the appt of Mr. K. N. Chandrasekharaiyya on Rs 100 as Head Acct & Audit

REV.

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

5736

pml 178-32-

Tel. Address
"POLICE"

PHONE No. 298

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

When replying please quote
No. A.C. 1598/32
Encl. one

*Dated Bangalore,...the...1st May...1933.*

FROM

F. A. HAMILTON, ESQ., C.I.E.,
*Inspector-General of Police in Mysore,*
*Bangalore.*

CHIEF SECRETARY
Pno
2 MAY 1933

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

RG
25 4 ಪೊಲೀಸ್

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith, for the consideration and approval of Government, my proposals for the creation of a post on Rs. 100-5-125 in the Audit Branch of the Chief Office in place of the existing one on Rs. 70-5-95 with a view to the introduction of a systematic and periodical audit of the accounts of the District and Taluk Police Offices, the necessity for an efficient internal audit check having been keenly felt now.

2. The Police Department which is one of the biggest spending departments of the State- perhaps occupying the third rank among them- its Budget now ranging between 19 and 20 lakhs, the expenditure comprising mostly disbursement of Pay, T.A., and contingent charges lacks in check and detail scrutiny over the initial accounts of its several offices-86 in number.

3. The Superintendents of Police while on inspection duty - mainly devote their attention to Crime and Criminals and the only inspection that they may make of accounts is the examination of cash balance on hand and its variance, if any, with the book balance. It is not, in my opinion fair to saddle the District Superintendents of Police with detail scrutiny over the Cash and Accounts- a minor work which is secondary in importance to Crime- when they are already having various other multifarious duties and responsibilities to discharge. The work cannot also be expected of clerks in District Police Offices where the number of hands allotted is the minimum required.

4. Instances of grave omissions and irregularities such as frauds and misappropriations, misclassifications in accounts, double payments, indiscriminate grant of advances for authorised and unauthorised purposes from unauthorised and prohibited sources, heavy outstandings of Pay and T.A. were discovered in the course of audit of some 2 or 3 offices to which a clerk of the Chief Office had been locally deputed. Such irregularities and omissions found in the Cash book and other accounts can only be discovered in Local Audit and not otherwise. Again, it is no surprise, if with such complete absence of check, it has been discovered that in some offices even monthly Balance Sheets are not put up for months together and in others inaccurate and misleading ones are put up.

5. It is a known fact that the Audit of the Police Training School accounts took nearly more than four months for an Auditor of the Comptroller's Office and this is again undoubtedly due to the absence of periodical check and detail scrutiny over its accounts.

6. From a perusal of the reports of the Audit already conducted as also the irregularities and omissions noticed in the Central Audit and published in the Audit and Appropriation Accounts, I am convinced of the absolute necessity of equipping the Department with an Internal Audit Organization on a modest scale to start with and to watch the result of progress to be made in that direction. The utility of such a system of Internal Audit in a large spending Department like the Police has also been strongly upheld by the Public Accounts Committee of 1930-31. ( Vide Page 131 of the Audit & Appropriation accounts for the year 1930-31- Extract noted in the margin for ready reference. )

" We note with satisfaction the perceptible improvement in the financial administration of the big spending departments which have been equipped with an internal audit organisation ....."

P.T.O.

7. The proposal as already stated in Para 1 Supra, does not involve any increase in the numerical strength of clerks. Nevertheless as the Head Accountant of the Chief Office will be entrusted with the Audit Work in addition to his own work, he will be in a better position than others in that all important accounts papers, Detailed Contingent Bills, Pay and T.A. bills are being checked and scrutinised by him in the Audit Branch of which he is the head. This idea of entrusting this Audit work to a responsible and senior official of the Accounts Branch of the Department- well versed in Accounts will mean the location of the entire financial aspect of the Department under a Section of the Chief Office- as it should inevitably be- with the immediate control and supervision of the Manager and the Head Quarters Assistant.

8. The financial result of the proposal would be an extra cost of Rs. 30/- per mensem which may be met out of the provision of Rs. 72/- per mensem for Orderlies made under " 5 - Kolar Gold Fields- 1 Ordinary Police- Establishment". These Office Orderlies may be retrenched from 1-6-1933 as their services are not required. Therefore the net result as portrayed in the appended Proposition Statement would be a saving of Rs. 42 per mensem or Rs. 504/- per annum.

9. I therefore recommend that you may be so good as to obtain sanction of Government to the above proposal with effect from 1st June 1933 as no extra cost will be incurred on this account since there is adequate provision for the same in the Budget Estimate for the year 1933-34.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Inspector General of Police.

l Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7th February 1903.)

R REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT.

lates .....Chief Office, Police Department.

WD 0302—GPB—5000—14-11-30

| Proposition | | | | | | Grounds of Proposition | Comptroller's Report | Order of Government | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Permanent | | Temporary | | | | | | Department concerned | Financial Department | |
| Increase per month | Decrease per month | Increase per month | | Decrease per month | | | | Remarks | Remarks | Orders- |
| Amount | Amount | Period | Amount | Period | Amount | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | | | |
| $118\frac{3}{4}$ | - | | | | | | | | | |
| - | $88\frac{1}{4}$ | | | | | | | | | |
| - | 30 | | | | | | | | | |
| - | 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| - | 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| - | 16 | | | | | | | | | |
| $118\frac{3}{4}$ | $160\frac{3}{4}$ | | | | | | | | | |

Letter No. A.C. 1598/32 dated 14-5-33-
addressed to the Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

r mensem or Rs. 504-0-0 per annum.

Inspector General of Police.

Hereof Rs. a recurring/non-recurring statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

[Finl. 200]

(*Vide* Art. 67, C. A. C. Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part 1. Chapter II of the

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the Propositio

| Government orders sanctioning present Establishment | | Nature of Charge | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Present Scale | | | | | | Proposed Scale | | | | | |
| No. | Date | No. | Designation | Pay | | | Average Cost | No. | Designation | Pay | | | A |
| | | | | Minimum | Rate of Increase | Maximum | | | | Munimum | Rate of Increase | Maximum | |
| | | 1 | Store Keeper- | 100 | 5 | 125 | 118¾ | 1 | Store Keeper- | 100 | 5 | 125 | |
| | | 1 | Crime Clerk | 100 | 5 | 125 | 118¾ | 1 | Crime Clerk. | 100 | 5 | 125 | |
| | | 16 | Clerks | 70 | 5 | 95 | 1420 | 1 | Head Accountant & Auditor. | 100 | 5 | 125 | |
| | | | K.G.F. OFFICE ORDERLIES | | | | | 15 | Clerks. | 70 | 5 | 95 | I |
| | | 2 | Orderlies. | | | 15 | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | 1 | - Do - | | | 14 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | 1 | - Do- | | | 12 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | 4 | L.A. to Orderlies. | | | 4 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | | T o t a l. | Rs. | | 1,729-8-0 | | | T o t a l. | | | Rs.168 | |
| | | | | | | | | | NET SAVINGS:- Rupees | | | 42-0-0 | |

G.O. No. P. 101-10/Pol. 89-28-6 dated 7th July 1930.
G.O. No. P. 5813-14/Pol. 170-31-2 dated 1/4th April 1932.
G.O. No. P. 1011-22 (1) Pol. 207-3-9 dated 15th August 1931.

*Note.*—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and should

Pol 176-3

the Maharaja of Mysore.

---

Read:-

Letter No. A.C. 1598/32 dated 1-5-1933 from the I.G. of Police, forwarding for sanction proposals for the creation of a post of Head Accountant and Auditor on Rs.100-5-125 instead of the existing one on Rs. 70-5-95 of the Chief Office, the official being entrusted with the work of conducting systematic and periodical audit of the accounts of the District and Taluk Police Offices in addition to his own work, and proposing

---

Order No. P6352-53 dated ~~27-5~~ 13-6-1933.

The retrenchment of 'orderlies' under 5 K G-F, 1 ordinary P.C. resulting in a saving of Rs 72/- p.m. for meeting the extra cost involved. pm 16-33

The I.G. of Police states that at present more attention is paid by the Inspecting Officers, to crime and criminals and not much to the scrutiny of account irregularities committed in subordinate offices. He proposes to introduce a system of periodical audit in subordinate offices by the Head Accountant of the Chief Office.

Temporarily for three years in the first instance

Govt. are pleased to sanction the proposals of the I.G. of Police with effect from 1-6-1933 with the observation that the introduction of the system of local audit will not detract from the responsibleties laid on Heads of Offices and Inspecting Officers to see that the accounts are kept properly.

The question of continuing the scheme beyond three years may be examined later on.

The extra cost involved in the increased pay to the Head Accountant viz., Rs. 100-5-125 (instead of Rs. 70-5-95) will be met out of the savings of Rs. 72/- p.m on account of the orderlies under 5 K.G.F. 1

ordinary Police - Estt. who will be retrenched from 1-6-1933.

Chief Secy. to Govt.

To

The I.G. of Police.

The Comptroller, through Finl. Secrtt.

C.R.G.

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

15

Read:—

Letter No.A.C. 1598/32 dated 1st May 1933 from the Inspector General of Police, forwarding for sanction proposals for the creation of a post of Head Accountant and Auditor on Rs. 100-5-125 instead of the existing one on Rs.70-5-95 of the Chief Office, the official being entrusted with the work of conducting systematic and periodical audit of the accounts of the District and Taluk police offices in addititon to his own work, and proposing the retrenchment of 'Orderlies' under 5 K.G.F. 1 Ordinary Police from 1-6-1933 resulting in a saving of Rs. 72/- p.m. for meeting the extra cost involved.

---

Order No. P6352-53 Pol 178-32-2

Dated, Bangalore the 13th June 1933.

The Inspector General of Police states that at present more attention is paid by the Inspecting Officers, to Crime and criminals and not much to the scrutiny of account irregularities committed in subordinate offices. He proposes to introduce a system of periodical audit in subordinate offices by the Head Accountant of the Chief Office.

Government are pleased to sanction the proposals of the Inspector General of Police temporarily for three years with effect from 1st June 1933 with the observation that the introduction of the system of local audit will not detract from the responsibilities laid on Heads of Offices and Inspecting Officers to see that the accounts are kept properly. The question of continuing the scheme thereafter may be examined later on.

The extra cost involved in the increased pay to the Head Accountant viz., Rs.100-5-125 (Rs.70-5-75) will be met out of the saving of Rs.72/- p.m. on account of the orderlies under 5 K.G.F. ordinary police - Estt. who

who will be retrenched from 1st June 1933.

for Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

The Comptroller to Goverrent through Financial Sectt.,

TD

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

65 60 / P

Tel. Address
"POLICE"

PHONE No. 298

When replying please quote No. A-C 1598/2

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

*Dated Bangalore,* the 19th June *1933*.

Pol 178-32-3

FROM

F. A. HAMILTON, ESQ., C.I.E.,
*Inspector-General of Police in Mysore,*
*Bangalore.*

CHIEF SECRETARY
Dated 20 JUNE 1933

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to Government Order No.P.6352-53/Pol.178-32-2 dated the 13th June, 1933, sanctioning the creation of a post of Head Accountant and Auditor on Rs.100-5-125 in the Chief Office for a perio of 3 years from 1-6-1933, I have the honor to state that I have appointed Mr. K.N.Chandrashekharia, B.A., I Class Clerk on Rs.70-5-95 and Head Accountant, Chief Office as Head Accountant and Auditor on Rs.100/- in grade 100-5-125 and to request that you may be so good as to obtain sanction of Government to this appointment with effect from 1-6-1933.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

F A Hamilton

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE.

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT ..... 16

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

Section PR

File No. 178-32

Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193* .

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

Date of issue 30.6. 1933.

Signed by Mr N.R.J.

*Read*— G.O no PB 352-53/PR 178-32-2 dated 13.6.33 sanctioning a post of Head Accountant & Auditor on Rs 100-5-125 instead of ~~one~~ the existing one on Rs 75-5-95 of the Chief Office, Police Dept. temporarily for three years with effect from 1.6.33, for conducting ~~systematic & practical~~ audit of the accounts of the Dist & Taluk Police Offices

2. Letter no A.C. 1598/32 dated 19.6.33 from the D.G of Police stating that Mr. K.N. Chandrasekhariah, Actin-

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

19.6.33.

1st class clerk in appointed
on and Head Accountant
of the Chief Office, has been
appointed as Head Accountant
and Auditor on Rs 100 in
grade 100-5-125 with
effect from 1.6.33
and requesting sanction
in the matter.

Order thereon No.

The appointment
of Mr. K. N. Chandrasekhariah
as Head Accountant and
Auditor is sanctioned with effect from 1.6.33.

It is understood/presumed that
the retrenchment of orderlies
of the K. Cr. F. Police Estt.
has been effected from
1.6.33.

List of Enclosure

RJ
VI 6/33

for Chief Secretary to Govt.

26/6/33

Copied by

The D. C. of Police
The Comptroller to Govt.

Examined by

26/6/33.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Government Order No.P.6352-53/Pol. 178/32/2 dated 13th June 1933, sanctioning a post of Head Accountant and Auditor on Rs.100/5-125/- instead of the existing one on Rs.75-5-95 of the Chief Office, Police Department temporarily for three years with effect from 1st June 1933, for conducting audit of the accounts of the District and Taluk ~~Offices~~ Police Offices.

2. Letter No.A.C.1598/32 dated 19th June 1933, from the Inspector General of Police, stating that Mr. K.N. Chandrasekhariah, Acting 1st Class Clerk and Head Accountant of the Chief Office, has been appointed as Head Accountant and Auditor on Rs. 100/- in grade 100-5-125 with effect from 1st June 1933, and requesting sanction in the matter.

---

ORDER NO. P6732-33 Pol 178-32-4

Dated, Bangalore, the 29th/30 June 1933.

The appointment of Mr. K.N. Chandrasekhariah as Head Accountant and Auditor is sanctioned with effect from 1st June 1933.

It is understood that the retrenchment of orderlies of the Kolar Gold Fields Police Establishment has been effected from 1st June 1933.

For Chief Secretary to Government.

To
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
" Comptroller to Government.

K.".P.3/29-6.